Applied Trophology, Vol. 3, Nos. 11-12 (November/December 1959)

The following is a transcription of the November/December 1959 issue of Dr. Royal Lee's *Applied Trophology* newsletter, originally published by Standard Process Laboratories.

"Arsenic in Paints and Wallpapers," Is That Transfusion Necessary?" "You're Not as Well Fed as You Think." "A Milestone," and "Let Food Be Your Medicine" by Doris Grant, plus Tip of the Month (Oily Skin) and High Points of Trace Minerals-B12

Arsenic in Paints and Wallpapers

Arsenical wallpapers are another unsuspected cause of illness and depression, and their dangerous character is now known and it is disbelieved by few members of the medical profession. A year or two ago, I myself belonged to this number. I received a circular urging united action to prevent the sale of arsenical wallpapers without the purchaser being informed that the paper contained arsenic. This is from the newspaper basket, thinking that the danger alluded to it was chimerical, inasmuch as I had prescribed arsenic medicinally to many patients without any injury, and knowing that arsenic entered into the quantities employed in both cases being, as I thought, very much larger than were likely to come from a wallpaper.

A month or two later, I learned, by sad experience, how mistaken I had been. Having chosen an olive-green wallpaper, I asked the member whether it was from arsenic or not, being solemnly assured that it was. I had it put up. In a very short time indeed after it was hung, I began to suffer from dysenteric symptoms, with intense languor and weariness—symptoms that I ought to have attributed arsenical poisoning.

Again, I went to the shop where I had bought the paper and repeated my question whether the paper was free from arsenic or not. "Oh, yes sir," was my reply. "There is no arsenic in any paper that we sell." The symptoms, however, were so clearly those of poisoning that I submitted a piece of the paper to a professional analyst, and he at once sent back the report: "The paper is loaded with arsenic."

Although it was a good while before I recovered from the effects of this, I was much more fortunate than a medical friend of mine, and himself were both ill, but he was also afflicted with a terrible cough, which at last became so severe that the children of symptoms of which he was laid, only after their death, to associate with the arsenic with which the wallpaper was loaded. Whenever the symptoms of weakness, weariness, and depression disappear on leaving home and an again felt very shortly after returning, the sufferer should at once have the wallpapers examined.

—T. Lauder Brunton, MD, DSc, FRS. *The Influence of Stimulants and Narcotics on Health (The Book of Health)*. Cassell & Company, London, 1885.

Is That Transfusion Necessary?

This question is being asked more and more since it was reported that probably 50 percent of all blood transfusions given today are unnecessary.

Dr. Bruce Chown, University of Manitoba at Winnipeg, states, "Blood has always had a mystical quality; its use in the operating room is often more mystical than scientific." He also infers that transfusions carry a "hidden threat" of death to the offspring when given to a woman of childbearing age.

Cases are on record of babies being born up to five years after a routine transfusion with fatal erythroblastosis. This is a blood condition in which the cells are not fully matured due to the fact that an entirely unrelated blood group isotope was present in the mother. Quite often the child cannot survive birth.

Again quoting Dr. Chown, "The most careful selection of the donor blood under our present methods of selection would not have prevented the sensitization of the mother and the sequent death of the baby."

It would appear that a very small percentage of transfusions given women of childbearing age in relation to pregnancy could be termed lifesaving.

—Excerpts from "Transfusions Carry Threat," *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, December 11, 1957.

You're Not as Well Fed as You Think

Certain specialties in the practice of medicine who handle one small segment of the body often see the pervasiveness of malnutrition before those general "doctors of the whole" who see the body as a whole. The dentists are perhaps outstanding examples of keen observers of mucosal disease. For generations society has been requesting of dentists that they eliminate the cause for decayed teeth. For many years these dentists have been doing vast amounts of research concerning dental caries and causes for loss of teeth. Some estimate that nearly 100 percent of the problems involved fall into one of malnutrition in different forms.

The outstanding dental college at the University of California has been conducting a research problem extending over a period of twenty years. Among other things a survey was made to determine the amount of dental caries in the state of California. It was found that if every dentist in the state spent all of his time doing nothing but filling teeth, eight hours a day, six days a week, 365 days of the year, it would require 25 years to fill the cavities present in all the teeth that need restoring in California today. This would not include the caries that would accumulate during the five years, so at the end of this four-year period there would actually be more dental caries present than there is now. In other words, the dentists are going further and further behind in their attempt to salvage the teeth of the people in America.

—Modern Nutrition Los Angeles, California

A Milestone

The *Literary Digest*, February 4, 1933, contained a story about the results obtained on decayed and strong teeth by Dr. and Mrs. Clyde Agnew, missionary dentists who spent ten years at the West China Union University. Their observations and experiments were on 350 native Chinese and Tibetans, both children and adults. About 3,000 dietary and home reports were analyzed and checked. Here is what the *Digest* told about them: "It was determined that the native foods, because of their large content of organic phosphorus—lecithin—and vitamin D, not only prevented decay in the teeth of children but actually hardened them and arrested caries. Their experiments were later continued at the University of Toronto with further, definite success. Dr. E.V. McCollum, of Johns Hopkins University, called this work by the Agnews a 'milestone in scientific progress.'"

Let Food Be Your Medicine

By Doris Grant

Voltaire wrote: "We put medicine about which we know little, into bodies about which we know less, to cure diseases about which we know nothing at all." This remark was as true at the time it was written. Never in the history of medicine have there been so many drugs for the physician to prescribe, and never has there been such an increase in the diseases.

In the many recent articles and letters appearing in medical journals, it is only too evident that physicians are becoming concerned at the greatly increased—and often indiscriminate—use of drugs at the present time. In the issue of December 1, 1956, of the British medical journal "Cautionary Cards" sounded a warning that the remedies in use today are so powerful that they can result in death if knowledge is not available concerning the patient's previous treatment with drugs and serums. It would seem that the interaction of drugs with one another is fraught with danger, even when months or years separate their administration.

The editorial suggested that the only way to minimize this danger would be for everyone to go through life labeled with the details of drugs and injections received. Such a suggestion is absurd, but it indicates how little we know of the reactions which the drugs and injections received by the patient may produce with one another.

In spite of the fantastic consumption of medicine—which costs the NHS 87,000,000 pounds a year—more and more thinking people today are questioning the effectiveness of modern drugs and medicines, especially in regard to the degenerative diseases, and are refusing to be treated like people "with themselves" with pains without diagnosis.

From these articles and many others that have been published, it is obvious that physicians are becoming more and more concerned not only with the increased use of drugs but also with their frequently unpredictable and harmful effects. They have, however, the average human failing: they do not like to admit to society's need for them. The Service (NHS) esteem has been given penicillin, pills, a bottle of medicine, or injections of some sort. There is undoubtedly a strong element in the unwillingness of physicians to admit that they have failed to cure a patient. Dr. Alexander Fleming, who discovered penicillin, has stated that the indiscriminate and unnecessary use of penicillin has resulted in the appearance of resistant germs, and he has warned that the time will come when penicillin will be useless.

The editorial suggested that the only way to minimize this danger would be for everyone to go through life labeled with the details of drugs and injections received. Such a suggestion is absurd, but it indicates how little we know of the reactions which the drugs and injections received by the patient may produce with one another.

In spite of the fantastic consumption of medicine—which costs the NHS 87,000,000 pounds a year—more and more thinking people today are questioning the effectiveness of modern drugs and medicines, especially in regard to the degenerative diseases, and are refusing to be treated like people "with themselves" with pains without diagnosis.

As a result of books and articles published, the writer has received hundreds of letters from chronic sufferers in all walks of life who are now looking to food as the only hope and who frankly admit having lost faith in drugs. The following extracts from a few of those letters are a true reflection of the present-day position:

"It is now over a year ago since I adopted a sound diet and stopped the drugs that various specialists of Harley Street and Nottingham advised me to take for the rest of my life, which according to them was not very long. I have been very near to death and indeed quite prepared to die, but thanks to the sound diet that I adopted, I am now a very fit person and doing all in my power to spread the knowledge of this diet and its relation to health."

"For the past year, I have endured great pain and tried all kinds of treatments without much relief."

"I have had all kinds of treatments from hospitals and doctors but all of no avail."

"My doctor is frankly puzzled and just gives me aspirin tablets to relieve the pain. I am convinced that the food we eat encourages or discourages this complaint."

"I have had all the usual hospital treatment, which only alleviates the pain with pills but doesn't cure."

"I have only been given tablets to relieve the pain. Have tried in vain to get information about what I should eat from my doctor and from two specialists at two hospitals."

"One of my doctors said that I have too much acid in my body but made no comment on how to counteract it by eating or not eating this or that. Consequently, I am still suffering great pain."

"I have no time for doctors' medicine or drugs."

To have helped many similar, seemingly chronic cases back to health through improved nutrition has been the most rewarding experience the writer has ever known.

Nevertheless, the triumphs of modern medicine are many and some of them magnificent; but does without saying. But as Dr. François Roberts stated in an article in the *Sunday Times* of April 12, 1953, these are confined to the "acute" diseases. The fact hardly admits (as do most physicians) that the vast majority of chronic diseases are concerned, cure is impossible. It is for these chronic and degenerative diseases in particular that food can play such an important role.

Until a few years ago, anyone who stressed the connection between food and health was regarded as a long-haired crank. Today, however, all progressive members of the medical profession are urging a reappraisal of our concept of nutrition, and already research work is being done. Irrefutable evidence is being accumulated that properly constituted food is a prerequisite of health—that even a partial deficiency of one or more of the accessory substances is associated with much substantial health and development and with incidence of disease.

Evidence

Recognition of the intimate relationship of food to health was recorded by the father of medicine himself. Since then, many other physicians have made valuable contributions to this evidence.

During the Napoleonic Campaign of 1750, the surgeon Kraner of the Austrian army was confronted with an appalling outbreak of scurvy. He cured his soldiers by giving them fresh orange, lemon, or lime juice and wrote in his memoirs as follows: "Scurvy is the most terrible disease of the human race. Its victims are to be found in all your medicine chest." This incidence was recorded in the book *Sun Diets* by Dr. Sanderson-Wells, the pioneer doctor-nutritionist who founded the Sanderson Wells Clinic in the Middlesex Hospital.

About the middle of the eighteenth century, Captain Cook made the same discovery regarding the anticonstructive powers of fresh oranges and lemons. As a result, in 1795, the Admiralty issued a daily portion of lime juice to protect the sailors from scurvy. The effects are dramatic. In the Royal Naval Hospital at Haslar in 1806 there was only one case of scurvy, whereas in 1760 there had been 1754 cases.

At the end of last century, Dr. Eijkmann, principal medical officer of a big prison in Java, had a large number of cases of paralysis among his prisoners. Going to the root of the trouble, he found that his polishy was also affected and found that both epaulay and prisoners were being fed on polished white rice. He at once ordered brown, unpolished rice to be given to the prisoners instead of the polished rice. He did likewise with his prisoners and in a relatively short time the hospital was clear. These men had suffering from beriberi.

In the early years of this century, Gowland Hopkins made his dramatic discovery of the vitamins, which helped to hasten the birth of the newer knowledge of nutrition.

In 1913 Sir Robert McCarrison began his outstanding and epoch-making work on nutrition in India. By feeding experimental rats with the actual diets of various Indian races, he found that they evidenced all the deficiency diseases peculiar to those races. He also fed rats on a highly standardized diet. They were immune from any of the poorer classes in England and found that it gave rise to two chief types of ailments—pulmonary and gastrointestinal.

It is significant that when Dr. McCarrison fed his rats on perfectly constituted diets in common use by people among whom peptic ulcer is rare, they remained free from it and in excellent health. It is also significant that the concurrent, practical research work in India of Dr. Lawrence Wilson and Dr. Howard Mason produced this fact—that properly fed animals do not contract disease. His powerful pen cowed cult-ish noses with foot-and-mouth infected animals and recovered.

Sir Robert McCarrison's findings were also confirmed by the large-scale experiments on vitamin B1 deficiency by the late Sir Jack Drummond and his colleagues. In his Harben Lectures for 1935, Sir Jack said, "McCarrison is unquestionably right in insisting that vitamin deficiency…is and indirectly responsible for a very large proportion of ill health today."

In 1939, 600 doctors of the County of Cheshire published their "Testament," which has since become famous. In it they maintain that "Our daily work brings us more and more into the same position as the physician who treats scurvy by serving nutrition." They also maintained that the 95 objects of the National Health Insurance Act had not been attempted for the prevention of sickness.

This document recently inspired another "Testament"—a public declaration of the medical and dental members of the Council of the Soil Association—and was signed by more than 400 physicians and dentists. It was published in the Spring issue of *Science* 1957. No such document has been published in a medical journal since, and the impact was greatly strengthened by a sympathetic editorial. This declaration stresses the concept of organic wholeness and the vital relationship between soil and man, and expresses the conviction that disease and the chemical and mechanical treatment of food from the soil to the table, "Poor being an outstanding example."

In the early part of this century Professor Sir Frederick Gowland Hellarby made his pioneer investigations into the part played by diet in the causation of disease, particularly in the relation of vitamin D deficiency to rickets. The result of his investigations was published in the form of a report in book form in 1922.

Valuable contributions to food knowledge have been made in many different countries, but particularly so in America—at the Mayo Clinic, and the Rockefeller Institute, the Lee Foundation for Nutritional Research, and by many enthusiast medical researchers. Nutrition and the Soil, by Dr. Organsen, a book by one of these researchers, Dr. Weston Price, is a classic of its kind. Any government legislator reading this book would at once set about outlawing sales and promotion of food and fluoridation of drinking water. In fact, anyone connected with public health in any form should read this book.

In this country, at the present time, outstanding research on nutrition is being done by Dr. Hugh Sinclair, of Oxford University, especially in the relationship of essential fatty acid deficiency to diseases of the blood arteries. He is our foremost medical authority on nutrition in this country today, and his pronouncements should be heeded. In the World Health Day Speech, published in the *British Medical Journal* December 14, 1957, he says: "The new science of nutrition will shed much needed light on one of the most fundamental, widespread, urgent, and inadequately understood problems in the evolution of human welfare. For nutrition has now become the most important single environmental factor affecting health in every country in the world."

It is now an accepted fact that food is the foundation of health—or are we all mad. Various publications of the World Health Organization and the League of Nations have borne witness to it. At first Sprigs, in 1935, and in 1943, the United Nations Conference on Food and Agriculture set its seal upon it. In 1935 a leading article in the *British Medical Journal* was headed "Food and Health" and a book of that name published in the same year. In the hands of our profession a potent weapon against disease, a potent instrument in the promotion of physical efficiency and well-being. It behooves us, therefore, to become proficient in this knowledge, to apply it in the daily course of our work, and to spread it by every means in our power."

Education

It is now more than twenty years since the *BMJ* recommended that the medical profession "should become proficient in this knowledge", and it is still not being adequately studied in schools today. In a League of Nations report, *Nutrition and Public Health* (1935), it says: "At present, medical students during the early years of their course attend a few lectures and demonstrations dealing with the physiology of nutrition, and perhaps carry out a little laboratory work in this field; the subject is presented as a chapter of physiology and not as an integral part of preventative medicine."

Reading this, medical students will understandably quail at the mere suggestion of yet another specialty being added to an already too full curriculum, but as Sir Robert McCarrison points out in his Cantor Lectures (published by Faber and Faber as Nutrition and National Health), a book that should be in every medical student's hands:

"Surely a subject that is an integral part of preventive medicine must in the future be given a place in the medical curriculum commensurate with its importance. In its teaching we must be content with first principles and measures. The student must have the opportunity to see with his own eyes the havoc that is wrought in the various organs and tissues of animals subjected to faulty nutrition of various kinds and degrees."

And so, until the new knowledge of nutrition is included in medical training, medical practice will continue to be "symptomatic"—to treat the symptom instead of the underlying cause and the part instead of the whole. How wretched the fact that any measure of health should be due to the symptoms of disease and not to eliminating the underlying causes cannot restore health," says Dr. Warmbrand in *Add Years to Your Heart*.

The cause of most disease, as the 600 Cheshire doctors clearly defined it, is wrong nutrition. That food, however, can be the best medicine may be far too simple a remedy for our complicated minds and therefore hard to grasp. To have a blind faith in medicines as the answer to disease is so much easier than to make any personal effort.

It is a common failing to shirk responsibility where our health is concerned. Prejudice, habit, and apathy will have to be overcome, having come to regard as normal many abnormal states of health. Although many symptoms today are nutritionally minded, it may take the medical profession as a whole a very long time to come round to the possibility of failure or factors being concerned in disease. We have a saying in Scotland: "There's nae sae blind as them that winna see."

There may also be a subconscious fear of having to practice what is preached, and the injunction "physician, heal thyself" will be like a sore nipple/cane. In the meantime, the Health Service patient will have to be changed. Doctors need higher pay and must have fewer patients in order to practice good medicine from the physiological approach, and this should be made possible immediately.

The establishment of a Foundation of Research in Human Nutrition is now an urgency. Only when all the facts have been established by more research can the newer knowledge of nutrition be put into practice and included as a must in the medical curriculum. In this connection Sir Robert McCarrison maintains that this will do for medicine what asepsis has done for surgery, particularly in regard to preventive medicine.

It is encouraging to know that plans have already been suggested for establishing just such a foundation near Oxford University. Anyone who is interested in assisting in the formation of this great and humanitarian scheme should communicate with Dr. Sinclair, fellow of Magdalen College, who will be pleased to supply further information.

Judging from the constant requests received by the writer for names of physicians who prescribe food instead of drugs, it is not difficult to predict a great future for all nutritionally minded students and to see that they would provide a lasting and most important contribution to the relief of much unnecessary suffering and to the welfare of mankind.

—Reprinted from *Cambridge University Medical Society Magazine*, Vol. XXXVI, No. 1, Michaelmas 1959.

Tip of the Month (Oily Skin)

Oily skin is a common reaction to vitamin G-deficiency.

High Points of Standard Process Nutritional Adjuncts

Allergenic Trace Minerals (Trace Minerals-B12) The major action of Allergenic Trace Minerals is to stimulate enzyme activity via its content of cobalt and manganese. By means it promotes repair of ligaments and bone. It is clinically effective for back pains, weakened ligaments, fallen arches, and similar disorders resulting from stretched and damaged ligaments. Improvement is usually prompt and progressive. This is an indispensable product for the physician who is aware of its effects. It differs from the inorganic salts in that it will not create gastritis or irritation.

Share this:
Like this:
Be the first to like this.

« Applied Trophology, Vol. 2, No. 10 (October 1958)
Applied Trophology, Vol. 4, Nos. 1-2 (January/February 1960) »

Leave a Reply
You must be logged in to post a comment.
Connect with:
Secured by OneAll Social Login

This entry was posted in Applied Trophology, Volume 3. Bookmark the permalink.

HISTORICAL ARCHIVE SEARCH
Search For...
GO!
YOUR CART
FEATURED ARCHIVE SUBJECTS
Lee Foundation for Nutritional Research
acid-alkaline balance
digestive health
heart disease
low-carb diet
prenatal nutrition
processed foods and disease
raw foods
soil health and nutrition
RECENT POSTS
Good to Know: Understanding Standard Process Constellation Products
21 hours ago
Happy Thanksgiving from SRP!
1 week ago
Dr. Dority on the 33 Royal Principles of Health: #29: Interference with Transmission of Innate Forces
2 weeks ago
Why Phyllis Quinn's Slow Cook Gourmet is an SRP Fave
1 month ago
Dr. Dority on the 33 Royal Principles of Health: #28: The Conductors of Innate Forces
1 month ago
CONTACT US
+1 (866) 407-9323
+1 (970) 461-6602
5740 Stoving Drive, Loveland, CO 80537
PO Box 270091, Fort Collins, CO 80527
info@selenerivertpress.com
Guest Blog Post Submission Form
PRODUCT SEARCH
Search for...
GO!
YOUR CART
Good to Know: Understanding Standard Process Constellation Products
21 hours ago
Happy Thanksgiving from SRP!
1 week ago
Dr. Dority on the 33 Royal Principles of Health: #29: Interference with Transmission of Innate Forces
2 weeks ago
Why Phyllis Quinn's Slow Cook Gourmet is an SRP Fave
1 month ago
Search Historical Archives...
SEARCH
FEATURED ARCHIVE SUBJECTS
Lee Foundation for Nutritional Research
acid-alkaline balance
digestive health
heart disease
low-carb diet
prenatal nutrition
processed foods and disease
raw foods
soil health and nutrition

ABOUT SELENE RIVER PRESS
Selene River Press is a publishing company specializing in holistic nutrition education. Our philosophy is rooted in the work of Dr. Royal Lee, who showed that vitamin deficiencies, due to processed foods, are responsible for most degenerative disease. From organic farming to food-based vitamins, from raw milk to fermented foods, Dr. Lee set the course for the field of whole food nutrition.
CONTACT US
Toll Free: +1 (866) 407-9323
Local: +1 (970) 461-6602
PO Box 270091, Fort Collins, CO, 80527
info@selenerivertpress.com
Guest Blog Post Submission Form

VISA MasterCard DISCOVER PayPal